NHS Community Mental Health Survey Benchmark Report 2021

Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust





Survey Coordination Centre

CareQuality Commission



Contents

1. Background & methodology

2. Headline results	3. Benchmarking	4. Change over time
	Section 1. Health and social care workers	Section 1. Health and social care workers
	Section 2. Organising care	Section 2. Organising care
	Section 3. Planning care	Section 3. Planning care
	Section 4. Reviewing care	Section 4. Reviewing care
	Section 5. Crisis care	Section 5. Crisis care
	Section 6. Medicines	Section 6. Medicines
	Section 7. NHS Talking Therapies	Section 7. NHS Talking Therapies
	Section 8. Support and wellbeing	Section 8. Support and wellbeing
	Section 9. Feedback	Section 9. Feedback
	Section 10. Overall views of care and services	Section 10. Overall views of care and services
	Section 11. Overall experience	Section 11. Overall experience
	Section 12. Care during the Covid-19 pandemic	

n and kers ng care g care ng care care ines alking t and back views ices erall

5. Appendix

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO27001:2013; certificate number GB10/80275).

2 Community Mental Health Survey | 2021 | RX3 | Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust

Background and methodology

This section includes:

- an explanation of the NHS Patient Survey Programme
- information on the Community Mental Health Survey
- a description of key terms used in this report
- navigating the report



Background and methodology

The NHS Patient Survey Programme

The NHS Patient Survey Programme (NPSP) collects feedback on adult inpatient care, maternity care, children and young people's inpatient and day services, urgent and emergency care, and community mental health services.

The NPSP is commissioned by the Care Quality Commission (CQC); the independent regulator of health and adult social care in England.

As part of the NPSP, the Community Mental Health Survey has been conducted almost every year since 2004. The CQC use the results from the survey in its assessment of mental health trusts in England.

To find out more about the survey programme and to see the results from previous surveys, please refer to the section on further information on this page.

Community Mental Health Survey

The survey was administered by the Survey Coordination Centre for Existing Methods (SCCEM) at Picker Institute.

The 2021 survey of people who use community

mental health services involved 54 providers of NHS community mental health services in England. We received responses from 17,322 people, a response rate of 26.5%.

People aged 18 and over were eligible for the survey if they were receiving care or treatment for a mental health condition and were seen face-to-face at the trust. via video conference or telephone between 1 September 2020 and 30 November 2020. For more information on the sampling criteria for the survey, please refer to the sampling instructions detailed in the 'Further information' section. Fieldwork for the survey (the time during which questionnaires were sent out and returned) took place between February and June 2021.

Trend data

The Community Mental Health Survey is comparable back to the 2014 survey. Trend data is presented in this report for questions that have been asked in previous survey years.

Further information about the survey

 For published results for other surveys in the NPSP, and for information to help trusts implement the surveys across the NPSP, please visit the NHS Surveys website.

Survey

Centre

 To learn more about the CQC's survey programme, please visit the CQC website.



Key terms used in this report

The 'expected range' technique

This report shows how your trust scored for each evaluative question in the survey, compared with other trusts that took part. It uses an analysis technique called the 'expected range' to determine if your trust is performing about the same, better or worse compared with most other trusts. This is designed to help understand the performance of individual trusts and identify areas for improvement. More information can be found in the <u>Appendix</u>.

Standardisation

Demographic characteristics, such as age and sex, can influence service users' experience of care and the way they report it. For example, research shows that older people report more positive experiences of care than younger people. Since trusts have differing profiles of service users, this could make fair trust comparisons difficult. To account for this, we 'standardise' the results, which means we apply a weight to individual service user responses to account for differences in demographic profile between trusts. For each trust, results have been standardised by the age and sex of respondents to reflect the 'national' age-sex type distribution (based on all respondents to the survey).

This helps ensure that no trust will appear better or worse than another because of its profile, and enables a fairer and more useful comparison of results across trusts. In most cases this standardisation will not have a large impact on trust results.

Scoring

For each question in the survey, the individual (standardised) responses are converted into scores on a scale of 0 to 10. A score of 10 represents the best possible result and a score of 0 the worst. The higher the score for each question, the better the trust is performing. Only evaluative questions in the questionnaire are scored. Some questions are descriptive (for example Q1) and others are 'routing questions', which are designed to filter out

respondents to whom the following questions do not apply (for example Q25). These questions are not scored. Section scoring is computed as the arithmetic mean of question scores for the section after weighting is applied.

National average

The 'national average' mentioned in this report is the arithmetic mean of all trusts' scores after weighting is applied.

Suppressed data

If fewer than 30 respondents have answered a question, no score will be displayed for that question (or the corresponding section the question contributes to).

Further information about the methods

For further information about the statistical methods used in this report, please refer to the <u>survey</u> technical document.

Centre



Using the survey results

Navigating this report

This report is split into five sections:

- **Background and methodology** provides information about the survey programme, how the survey is run, and how to interpret the data.
- Headline results includes key trust-level findings relating to the service users who took part in the survey, benchmarking, and top and bottom scores. This section provides an overview of results for your trust, identifying areas where your organisation performs better than the average and where you may wish to focus improvement activities.
- **Benchmarking** shows how your trust scored for each evaluative question in the survey, compared with other trusts that took part; using the 'expected range' analysis technique. This allows you to see the range of scores achieved and compare yourself with the other organisations that took part in the survey. Benchmarking can provide you with an indication of where you perform better than the average, and what you should aim for in areas where you may wish to improve.

- Change over time displays your trust score for each survey year. Where available, trend data will be shown from 2014 to 2021. Questions are displayed in a line chart with the trust mean plotted alongside the national average. Statistical significance testing is also shown between survey years (2021 vs 2020 and 2021 vs 2019). This section highlights areas your trust has improved on or declined in over time.
- **Appendix** includes additional data for your trust; further information on the survey methodology; and interpretation of graphs in this report.

How to interpret the graphs in this report

There are several types of graphs in this report which show how the score for your trust compares to the scores achieved by all trusts that took part in the survey. Additionally, line charts show your trust's trend data over time.

The two chart types used in the section 'Benchmarking' use the 'expected range' technique to show results. For information on how to interpret these graphs, please refer to the Appendix.

Other data sources

More information is available about the following topics at their respective websites, listed below:

- Full national results; A-Z list to view the results for each trust: technical document: http://www.cqc.org.uk/cmhsurvey
- National and trust-level data for all trusts who took part in the Community Mental Health Survey 2021 https://nhssurveys.org/surveys/survey/05community-mental-health/year/2021/. Full details of the methodology for the survey, instructions for trusts and contractors to carry out the survey, and the survey development report can also be found on the NHS Surveys website.
- Information on the NHS Patient Survey Programme, including results from other surveys: www.cqc.org.uk/content/surveys
- Information about how the CQC monitors hospitals: https://www.cqc.org.uk/what-wedo/how-we-use-information/using-data-monitorservices

Headline results

This section includes:

- information about your trust population
- an overview of benchmarking for your trust
- the best and worst scores for your trust



Survey Coordination Centre


CareQuality

Commissior



Who took part in the survey?

This slide is included to help you interpret responses and to provide information about the population of service users who took part in the survey.



Centre



Summary of findings for your trust


Benchmarking

Comparison with other trusts

The **number of questions** at which your trust has performed better, worse, or about the same compared with all other trusts.

Comparison with last year's results

The **number of questions** at which your trust has performed statistically significantly better, significantly worse, or no different than your result from the previous year, 2021 vs 2020.



For a breakdown of the questions where your trust has performed better or worse compared with all other trusts, please refer to the appendix section "your trust has performed much worse", "your trust has performed worse", "your trust has performed somewhat worse", "your trust has performed somewhat better", "your trust has performed better", "your trust has performed much better".




Survey

Centre

Best and worst performance relative to the national average

These five questions are calculated by comparing your trust's results to the national average.

- Top five scores: These are the five results for your trust that are highest compared with the national average. If none of the results for your trust are above the national average, then the results that are closest to the national average have been chosen, meaning a trust's best performance may be worse than the national average.
- Bottom five scores: These are the five results for your trust that are lowest compared with the national average. If none of the results for your trust are below the national average, then the results that are closest to the national average have been chosen, meaning a trust's worst performance may be better than the national average.



This section includes:

- how your trust scored for each evaluative question in the survey, compared with other trusts that took part.
- an analysis technique called the 'expected range' to determine if your trust is performing about the same, better or worse compared with most other trusts.



Survey Coordination Centre

Appendix

CareQuality

Commissioñ




Section 1. Health and social care workers

Benchmarking

This shows the range of section scores for all NHS trusts. The colour of the line denotes whether a trust has performed better, worse, or about the same compared with all other trusts (as detailed in the legend). The result for your trust is shown in black. Please note, as a result of the 'expected range' analysis technique used, a trust could be categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a higher score than a 'better than expected' trust.

Your trust section score = 7.3 About the same



Appendix



Survey Coordination Centre



Section 1. Health and social care workers (continued)



CareQuality


Commissioñ



Section 2. Organising care

This shows the range of section scores for all NHS trusts. The colour of the line denotes whether a trust has performed better, worse, or about the same compared with all other trusts (as detailed in the legend). The result for your trust is shown in black. Please note, as a result of the 'expected range' analysis technique used, a trust could be categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a higher score than a 'better than expected' trust.

Your trust section score = 8.6 About the same







Section 2. Organising care (continued)

		worse that t the same	n expected			in expected t better than			mewhat wo		expected		-		All tru	sts in Er	ngland
Q10. Have you been told who is	Much	better than	n expected		◆ Your trus		•		tional avera	-			Number of				Highest
in charge of organising your ⁰ care and services? (This person		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		respondents	trust	average	score	score
can be anyone providing your care, and may be called a "care coordinator" or "lead									•			About the same	248	7.8	7.3	6.1	9.1
professional").												_					
Q12. Do you know how to contact this person if you have a concern about your care?											•	Somewhat better than expected	143	9.8	9.6	9.3	9.9
									_			_					·
Q13. How well does this person organise the care and services you need?												About the same	144	8.3	8.3	7.6	8.8

Background and methodology


Centre



Section 3. Planning care

This shows the range of section scores for all NHS trusts. The colour of the line denotes whether a trust has performed better, worse, or about the same compared with all other trusts (as detailed in the legend). The result for your trust is shown in black. Please note, as a result of the 'expected range' analysis technique used, a trust could be categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a higher score than a 'better than expected' trust.

Your trust section score = 6.7 About the same





Care Quality Commission



Section 3. Planning care (continued)

	uch wors bout the s	e than expec	ted		han expecte	ed an expected		newhat wors ter than exp	se than expecte	d				All tru	sts in Er	ngland
		r than expect	ted	♦ Your tru				ional averag				Number of		National	Lowest	Highest
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		respondents	trust	average	score	score
Q14. Have you agreed with someone from NHS mental health services what care you will receive?						•					About the same	306	6.0	6.0	5.1	7.0
Q15. Were you involved as much as you wanted to be in agreeing what care you will receive?							•				About the same	228	7.3	7.3	6.6	8.0
Q16. Does this agreement on what care you will receive take into account your needs in other areas of your life?							•				About the same	223	6.9	6.7	6.0	7.2

CareQuality


Commissior



Section 4. Reviewing care

This shows the range of section scores for all NHS trusts. The colour of the line denotes whether a trust has performed better, worse, or about the same compared with all other trusts (as detailed in the legend). The result for your trust is shown in black. Please note, as a result of the 'expected range' analysis technique used, a trust could be categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a higher score than a 'better than expected' trust.

Your trust section score = 7.6 About the same





Section 4. Reviewing care (continued)

		About	the same			Somew		ed nan expected	Bett	er than expe		ted				All tru	sts in Er	ngland
C)	Much 1	better that	an expect	ed 3	♦ Your tr 4	ust 5	6	Nati	onal average 8	9 9	10		Number of respondents				Highest score
Q17. In the last 12 months, have you had a specific meeting with someone from NHS mental health services to discuss how your care is working?									٠				About the same	228	7.2	6.7	5.7	7.6
Q18. Did you feel that decisions were made together by you and the person you saw during this discussion?										•			About the same	159	8.0	7.7	6.9	8.4

CareQuality

Commissioñ






Section 5. Crisis care

This shows the range of section scores for all NHS trusts. The colour of the line denotes whether a trust has performed better, worse, or about the same compared with all other trusts (as detailed in the legend). The result for your trust is shown in black. Please note, as a result of the 'expected range' analysis technique used, a trust could be categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a higher score than a 'better than expected' trust.

Your trust section score = 7.1 About the same



Care Quality Commission



Section 5. Crisis care (continued)

	 Much wors About the s Much bette 	same			han expecte hat better tha ust		Bett	newhat wors er than expe onal averag		ed		Number of	Your		sts in Er	ngland Highest
Q19. Would you know who to 0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		respondents				score
contact out of office hours within the NHS if you had a crisis? (This should be a person or team within NHS mental health								•			About the same	273	8.0	7.3	6.1	8.7
services).											_					
Q20. Thinking about the last time you tried to contact this person or team, did you get the help you needed?						•					About the same	151	6.3	6.5	5.1	7.4



CareQuality


Commissioñ



Section 6. Medicines

This shows the range of section scores for all NHS trusts. The colour of the line denotes whether a trust has performed better, worse, or about the same compared with all other trusts (as detailed in the legend). The result for your trust is shown in black. Please note, as a result of the 'expected range' analysis technique used, a trust could be categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a higher score than a 'better than expected' trust.

Your trust section score = 7.4 About the same



Care Quality Commission



Section 6. Medicines (continued)

		worse that t the same	•	1	Worse that Somewhat	an expected at better tha				hat worse han expec	than expected	cted				All tru	sts in En	gland
	■ Much	better than	n expected		◆ Your trus		· ·			l average				Number of				Highest
0		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	_	8	9	10		respondents	trust	average	score	score
Q22. Has the purpose of your medicines ever been discussed with you?													About the same	224	7.9	7.8	7.2	8.3
							_											
Q23. Have the possible side effects of your medicines ever been discussed with you?													About the same	221	6.4	5.9	5.2	6.8
Q26. In the last 12 months, has an NHS mental health worker									-]
checked with you about how you are getting on with your medicines? (That is, have your										•			About the same	179	7.9	7.5	6.6	8.6
medicines been reviewed?).																		

CareQuality


Commissior



Section 7. NHS Talking Therapies

This shows the range of section scores for all NHS trusts. The colour of the line denotes whether a trust has performed better, worse, or about the same compared with all other trusts (as detailed in the legend). The result for your trust is shown in black. Please note, as a result of the 'expected range' analysis technique used, a trust could be categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a higher score than a 'better than expected' trust.

Your trust section score = 7.6 About the same







Section 7. NHS Talking Therapies (continued)

Benchmarking

		luch worse bout the s	e than expectance	ted		han expecte	ed an expected		newhat wors ter than exp	se than expe ected	ected				All tru	sts in Er	igland
	■ N	luch better	than expec	ted	◆ Your tr				ional averag				Number of				Highest
0		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	_	respondents	trust	average	score	score
Q28. Were these NHS talking therapies explained to you in a way you could understand?									•			About the same	89	8.0	8.0	7.3	8.9
Q29. Were you involved as much as you wanted to be in deciding what NHS talking therapies to use?								•				About the same	85	7.1	6.9	5.9	8.5


Commission



Section 8. Support and wellbeing

This shows the range of section scores for all NHS trusts. The colour of the line denotes whether a trust has performed better, worse, or about the same compared with all other trusts (as detailed in the legend). The result for your trust is shown in black. Please note, as a result of the 'expected range' analysis technique used, a trust could be categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a higher score than a 'better than expected' trust.

Your trust section score = 4.8 About the same







Section 8. Support and wellbeing (continued)

	Much worse About the s	=	ed	Worse that Somewhat	•	d an expected		newhat worse er than expe	-	cted				All tru	sts in Er	gland
	Much better		d	◆ Your trus				onal average				Number of	Your	National	Lowest	Highest
Q32. In the last 12 months, did NHS mental health services ⁰	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		respondents	trust	average	score	score
support you with your physical health needs (this might be an injury, a disability, or a condition such as diabetes, epilepsy,					٠						About the same	163	5.2	4.7	3.6	5.8
etc)?					-											
Q33. In the last 12 months, did NHS mental health services give you any help or advice with finding support for financial advice or benefits?				•							About the same	163	4.0	4.0	2.6	5.0
Q34. In the last 12 months, did NHS mental health services give you any help or advice with finding support for finding or keeping work (paid or				•							About the same	69	3.5	3.9	2.3	5.4
voluntary)?																
Q35. Have NHS mental health services involved a member of your family or someone else close to you as much as you would like?											About the same	209	6.5	6.5	5.4	7.4

CareQuality


Commission



Section 9. Feedback

This shows the range of section scores for all NHS trusts. The colour of the line denotes whether a trust has performed better, worse, or about the same compared with all other trusts (as detailed in the legend). The result for your trust is shown in black. Please note, as a result of the 'expected range' analysis technique used, a trust could be categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a higher score than a 'better than expected' trust.

Your trust section score = 2.3 About the same





Section 9. Feedback (continued)

Question scores



			All tru	sts in Er	ngland
	Number of respondents		National average		Highest score
ne	251	2.3	2.0	1.2	3.2


Centre



Section 10. Overall views of care and services

This shows the range of section scores for all NHS trusts. The colour of the line denotes whether a trust has performed better, worse, or about the same compared with all other trusts (as detailed in the legend). The result for your trust is shown in black. Please note, as a result of the 'expected range' analysis technique used, a trust could be categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a higher score than a 'better than expected' trust.

Your trust section score = 7.1 About the same







Section 10. Overall views of care and services (continued)

	1	ch worse that out the same	•	d	Worse the Somewhat is a second sec	-	ed an expected		mewhat wors	e than expec	ed				All tru	sts in Er	ngland
	1	ch better tha		d	+ Your trus				tional averag				Number of	Your			Highest
0)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		respondents	trust	average	score	score
Q3. In the last 12 months, do you feel you have seen NHS mental health services often enough for your needs?							•					About the same	298	5.8	5.9	4.7	6.5
Q4. In the last 12 months, were care and services available when you needed them?								٠				About the same	280	7.0	6.8	5.8	7.6
Q37. Overall, in the last 12 months, did you feel that you were treated with respect and dignity by NHS mental health services?									•			About the same	298	8.5	8.3	7.7	8.9

CareOuality


Commission



Section 11. Overall experience

This shows the range of section scores for all NHS trusts. The colour of the line denotes whether a trust has performed better, worse, or about the same compared with all other trusts (as detailed in the legend). The result for your trust is shown in black. Please note, as a result of the 'expected range' analysis technique used, a trust could be categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a higher score than a 'better than expected' trust.

Your trust section score = 7.1 About the same







Section 11. Overall experience (continued)

Question scores



			All tru	sts in Er	ngland
	Number of respondents		National average		Highest score
About the same	290	7.1	6.8	5.9	7.5

Centre

CareQuality

Commission




Section 12. Care during the Covid-19 pandemic

Benchmarking

This shows the range of section scores for all NHS trusts. The colour of the line denotes whether a trust has performed better, worse, or about the same compared with all other trusts (as detailed in the legend). The result for your trust is shown in black. Please note, as a result of the 'expected range' analysis technique used, a trust could be categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a higher score than a 'better than expected' trust.

Your trust section score = 6.6 About the same





Survey Coordination Centre

CareQuality

Commission



Section 12. Care during the Covid-19 pandemic (continued)

		ch worse th out the sam	•	ed		han expecte hat better th	ed an expected	Somewh Better th		e than expect	ed				All tru	sts in Er	ngland
0	■ Muo	ch better th	an expecte	ed 3	♦ Your tr	ust 5	6	National	-		10		Number of respondents				Highest score
Q5. Were you informed how the care and treatment you were receiving would change due to the coronavirus pandemic?												About the same	254	6.6	6.5	5.3	7.2

Change over time

This section includes:

• a comparison to previous survey years scores for your trust for each question, including:

• your trust's 2021 score compared with its scores from 2014 to 2020


Please note, if data is missing for a survey year, this is due to a low number of responses, or because the trust data was not included in the survey that year, due to sampling errors or ineligibility.



Survey Coordination Centre

Section 1. Health and social care workers

Question scores



Significant change 2021 vs 2020	No change
Significant change 2021 vs 2019	No change

Answered by all.

Respondents who stated that they didn't know / couldn't remember have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2014: 188; 2015: 222; 2016: 222; 2017: 221; 2018: 199; 2019: 230; 2020: 327; 2021: 290 Significant change 2021 vs 2020

Significant change 2021 vs 2019

Respondents who stated that they didn't know / couldn't remember have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2014: 183; 2015: 224; 2016: 221; 2017: 207; 2018: 199; 2019: 222; 2020: 318; 2021: 288


No change

No change

methodology	Background and Headline results Benchmarking Change over time Appendix Care Quality Coordination
-------------	--

Section 1. Health and social care workers

Question scores



Significant change 2021 vs 2020	No change
Significant change 2021 vs 2019	-

Answered by all.

Respondents who stated that they didn't know / couldn't remember have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2020: 302; 2021: 267


38 Community Mental Health Survey | 2021 | RX3 | Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust

Commissioñ



Section 2. Organising care

Question scores



Significant change 2021 vs 2020	No change
Significant change 2021 vs 2019	No change

Answered by all. Respondents who stated that they weren't sure have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2014: 159; 2015: 212; 2016: 204; 2017: 193; 2018: 170; 2019: 197; 2020: 270; 2021: 248

39 Community Mental Health Survey | 2021 | RX3 | Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust

Significant change 2021 vs 2019 No change


Answered by those who have been told who is in charge of organising their care and services, and the person in charge is not a GP. Respondents who stated that they weren't sure have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2018: 109; 2019: 117; 2020: 174; 2021: 143

Commission



Section 2. Organising care

Question scores



Significant change 2021 vs 2020	No change
Significant change 2021 vs 2019	No change


Answered by those who have been told who is in charge of organising their care and services, and the person in charge is not a GP. Number of respondents: 2018: 108; 2019: 119; 2020: 175; 2021: 144

Commissioñ



Section 3. Planning care

Question scores



Significant change 2021 vs 2020	No change
Significant change 2021 vs 2019	No change

Answered by all.

Number of respondents: 2014: 190; 2015: 233; 2016: 220; 2017: 217; 2018: 202; 2019: 229; 2020: 327; 2021: 306

41 Community Mental Health Survey | 2021 | RX3 | Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust

Significant change 2021 vs 2020No changeSignificant change 2021 vs 2019No change

Answered by those who have agreed with someone from NHS mental health services what care they will receive. Respondents who stated that they didn't know / couldn't remember or did not want to be involved have been excluded.


Number of respondents: 2014: 138; 2015: 178; 2016: 164; 2017: 167; 2018: 156; 2019: 180; 2020: 253; 2021: 228

Commission



Section 3. Planning care

Question scores



Significant change 2021 vs 2020	No change
Significant change 2021 vs 2019	-


Answered by those who have agreed with someone from NHS mental health services what care they will receive. Respondents who stated that they didn't know / couldn't remember or did not want to be involved have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2020: 240; 2021: 223

Commissioñ



Section 4. Reviewing care

Question scores



Answered by those who have been in contact with mental health services for one or more years. Respondents who stated that they didn't know / couldn't remember have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2020: 247; 2021: 228

Answered by those who have been in contact with mental health services for one or more years, and have had a specific meeting with someone from NHS mental health services in the last 12 months to discuss how their care is working. Respondents who stated that they didn't know / couldn't remember or did not want to be involved have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2020: 191; 2021: 159


43 Community Mental Health Survey | 2021 | RX3 | Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust



NHS

Section 5. Crisis Care

Question scores




Answered by all. Respondents who stated that they weren't sure have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2020: 290; 2021: 273 Q20 is not shown, as this question was not historically comparable.

Commission



Section 6. Medicines

Question scores



Significant change 2021 vs 2020	No change
Significant change 2021 vs 2019	No change

Answered by those who have been receiving any medicines in the last 12 months for their mental health needs. Respondents who stated that they didn't know / couldn't remember have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2019: 176; 2020: 239; 2021: 224 Significant change 2021 vs 2020No changeSignificant change 2021 vs 2019No change


Answered by those who have been receiving any medicines in the last 12 months for their mental health needs. Respondents who stated that they didn't know / couldn't remember have been excluded.

Number of respondents: 2019: 176; 2020: 241; 2021: 221



Section 6. Medicines

Question scores




Significant change 2021 vs 2020	No change
Significant change 2021 vs 2019	No change

Answered by those who have been receiving any medicines for 12 months or longer for their mental health needs. Respondents who stated that they didn't know / couldn't remember have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2014: 118; 2015: 159; 2016: 149; 2017: 149; 2018: 118; 2019: 142; 2020: 183; 2021: 179

Section 7. NHS Talking Therapies

Question scores



Significant change 2021 vs 2020	No change
Significant change 2021 vs 2019	No change

Answered by those who have received any NHS talking therapies in the last 12 months for their mental health needs. Respondents who stated that no explanation was needed have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2018: 58; 2019: 76; 2020: 115; 2021: 89

47 Community Mental Health Survey | 2021 | RX3 | Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust

Answered by those who have received any NHS talking therapies in the last 12 months for their

mental health needs. Respondents who stated that they didn't know / couldn't remember or did not want to be involved have been excluded.

No change

No change


Number of respondents: 2018: 57; 2019: 75; 2020: 107; 2021: 85

Significant change 2021 vs 2020

Significant change 2021 vs 2019

Section 8. Support and wellbeing

Question scores



Answered by all. Respondents who stated that they have support and did not need NHS mental health services to provide it, do not need support for this, or do not have physical health needs have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2020: 166; 2021: 163

48 Community Mental Health Survey | 2021 | RX3 | Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust

Answered by all. Respondents who stated that they have support and did not need help / advice to find it, or do not need support for this have been excluded.


Centre

Number of respondents: 2014: 87; 2015: 122; 2016: 107; 2017: 117; 2018: 95; 2019: 122; 2020: 176; 2021: 163

NHS

Section 8. Support and wellbeing

Question scores



Answered by all. Respondents who stated that they have support and did not need help / advice to find it, do not need support for this, or are not currently in or seeking work have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2020: 72; 2021: 69

49 Community Mental Health Survey | 2021 | RX3 | Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust

Significant change 2021 vs 2019

Answered by all. Respondents who stated that their friends or family did not want to be involved, did not want their friends or family to be involved, or that this does not apply to them have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2014: 122; 2015: 171; 2016: 162; 2017: 148; 2018: 139; 2019: 164; 2020: 232; 2021: 209


No change

Significant change 2021 vs 2019



Section 9. Feedback

Question scores




Significant change 2021 vs 2020	No change
Significant change 2021 vs 2019	No change

Answered by all. Respondents who stated that they weren't sure have been excluded. Number of respondents: 2019: 196; 2020: 283; 2021: 251

Section 10. Overall views of care and services

Question scores



Significant change 2021 vs 2020	Decrease
Significant change 2021 vs 2019	No change

Answered by all.

Respondents who stated that they didn't know have been excluded.

Number of respondents: 2014: 176; 2015: 228; 2016: 226; 2017: 223; 2018: 197; 2019: 229; 2020: 324; 2021: 298

Significant change 2021 vs 2020No changeSignificant change 2021 vs 2019No change

Answered by all.

Respondents who stated that they have support and did not need help / advice to find it, or do not need support for this have been excluded.

Number of respondents: 2014: 185; 2015: 228; 2016: 227; 2017: 222; 2018: 200; 2019: 231; 2020: 327; 2021: 298


CareQuality Commission





Section 11. Overall...

Question scores



Significant change 2021 vs 2020	No change
Significant change 2021 vs 2019	No change

Answered by all.

Number of respondents: 2014: 182; 2015: 222; 2016: 212; 2017: 213; 2018: 192; 2019: 221; 2020: 320; 2021: 290

Appendix



Survey Coordination Centre



Survey Coordination

Centre

Comparison to other trusts: where your trust has performed much better

The questions at which your trust has performed much better when compared with all other trusts are listed below. The questions where your trust has performed about the same compared with all other trusts have not been listed.

Much better than expected



Centre

Comparison to other trusts: where your trust has performed better

The questions at which your trust has performed better than compared with all other trusts are listed below. The questions where your trust has performed about the same compared with all other trusts have not been listed.

Better than expected

Comparison to other trusts: where your trust has performed somewhat better

The questions at which your trust has performed somewhat better when compared with all other trusts are listed below. The questions where your trust has performed about the same compared with all other trusts have not been listed.

Somewhat better than expected

• Q12. Do you know how to contact this person if you have a concern about your care?

Comparison to other trusts: where your trust has performed somewhat worse

The questions at which your trust has performed somewhat worse when compared with all other trusts are listed below. The questions where your trust has performed about the same compared with all other trusts have not been listed.

Somewhat worse than expected



Centre

Comparison to other trusts: where your trust has performed worse

The questions at which your trust has performed worse compared with all other trusts are listed below. The questions where your trust has performed about the same compared with all other trusts have not been listed.

Worse than expected



Centre

Comparison to other trusts: where your trust has performed much worse

The questions at which your trust has performed much worse when compared with all other trusts are listed below. The questions where your trust has performed about the same compared with all other trusts have not been listed.

Much worse than expected





NHS Community Mental Health Survey

Results for Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust

Where service user experience is best

- Crisis care contact: service users knowing who to contact out of hours in the NHS if they have a crisis
- Review of care: service users meeting with NHS mental health services to discuss how their care is working
- Side effects: possible side effects of medicines being discussed with service users
- Support with physical health needs: service users being given support with their physical health needs
- Who organises care: service users being told who is in charge of organising their care and services

Where service user experience could improve

- **Support with work:** service users being given help or advice with finding support for finding or keeping work
- **Crisis care help:** services users getting the help needed when they last contacted the crisis team
- **Friends/Family involvement:** service user's family/someone close to them is involved in their care as much as they like
- Seen often enough: service users being seen by NHS mental health services often enough for their needs
- **Support with financial advice:** service users being given help or advice with finding support for financial advice

These questions are calculated by comparing your trust's results to the national average. "Where service user experience is best": These are the five results for your trust that are highest compared with the national average. "Where service user experience could improve": These are the five results for your trust that are lowest compared with the national average.

This survey looked at the experiences of people who were receiving care or treatment for a mental health condition and had been treated by the trust between 1 September 2020 and 30 November 2020. Between February and June 2021 a questionnaire was sent to 1250 recent service users. Responses were received from 311 service users at this trust. If you have any questions about the survey and our results, please contact [INSERT TRUST CONTACT DETAILS].

60 Community Mental Health Survey | 2021 | | RX3 | Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust





Survey


Centre

How to interpret benchmarking in this report

The charts in the 'benchmarking' section show how the score for your trust compares to the range of scores achieved by all trusts taking part in the survey. The black line shows the score for your trust. The graphs are divided into seven sections, comparing the score for your trust to most other trusts in the survey:

- If your trust's score lies in the dark green section of the graph, its result is 'Much better than expected'.
- If your trust's score lies in the **mid-green section** of the graph, its result is 'Better than expected'.
- If your trust's score lies in the light green section of the graph, its result is 'Somewhat better than expected'.
- If your trust's score lies in the grey section of the graph, its result is 'About the same'.
- If your trust's score lies in the yellow section of the graph, its result is 'Somewhat worse than expected'.
- If your trust's score lies in the light orange section of the graph, its result is 'Worse than expected'.
- If your trust's score lies in the dark orange section of the graph, its result is 'Much worse than expected'.

These groupings are based on a rigorous statistical analysis of the data termed the 'expected range' technique.





Survey

How to interpret benchmarking in this report (continued)

The 'much better than expected,' 'better than expected', 'somewhat better than expected', 'about the same', 'somewhat worse than expected', 'worse than expected' and 'much worse than expected' categories are based on an analysis technique called the 'expected range'. Expected range determines the range within which a trust's score could fall without differing significantly from the average, taking into account the number of respondents for each trust, to indicate whether the trust has performed significantly above or below what would be expected.

If it is within this expected range, we say that the trust's performance is 'about the same' as other trusts. Where a trust is identified as performing 'better' or 'worse' than the majority of other trusts, the result is unlikely to have occurred by chance.

In some cases, there will be no shades of orange and/or green area in the graph. This happens when the expected range for your trust is so broad that it encompasses either the highest possible score for all trusts (no green section) or the lowest possible score for all trusts (no orange section). This could be because there were few respondents and/or a lot of variation in their answers.

In some cases, a trust could be categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a lower score than a 'worse than expected' trust, or categorised as 'about the same' whilst having a higher score than a 'better than expected' trust.

Additional information on the 'expected range' analysis technique can be found in the survey technical report on the NHS Surveys website.

time Appendix

CareQuality Commission




How to interpret change over time in this report

Benchmarking

The charts in the 'change over time' section show how your trust scored in each Community Mental Health survey iteration. Where available, trend data from 2014 to 2021 is shown. If a question only has one data point, this question is not shown. Questions that are not historically comparable, are also not shown.

Each question is displayed in a line chart. These charts show your trust mean score for each survey year (blue line). The national average is also shown across survey years, this is the average score for that question across all community mental health trusts in England (green line). This enables you to see how your trust compares to the national average. If there is data missing for a survey year, this is may be due to either a low number of responses, because the trust was not included in the survey that year, sampling errors or ineligibility.

Statistically significant changes are also displayed in tables underneath the charts, showing significant differences between this year (2021) and the two previous years (2020 and 2019). Z-tests set to 95% significance were used to compare data between the two years (2021 vs 2020 and 2021 vs 2019). A statistically significant difference means it is unlikely we would have obtained this result if there was no real difference.



CareQuality

Commission



An example of scoring

Each evaluative question is scored on a scale from 0 to 10. The scores represent the extent to which the service user's experience could be improved. A score of 0 is assigned to all responses that reflect considerable scope for improvement, whereas a score of 10 refers to the most positive service user experience possible. Where a number of options lay between the negative and positive responses, they are placed at equal intervals along the scale. Where options were provided that did not have any bearing on the trust's performance in terms of service user experience, the responses are classified as "not applicable" and a score is not given. Similarly, where respondents stated they could not remember or did not know the answer to a question, a score is not given.

Calculating an individual respondent's score

The following provides an example for the scoring system applied for each respondent. For question 7 "Were you given enough time to discuss your needs and treatment?":

- The answer code "Yes, definitely" would be given a score of 10, as this refers to the most positive service user experience possible.
- The answer code "Yes, to some extent" would be given a score of 5, as it is placed at an equal interval along the scale.
- The answer code "No" would be given a score of 0, as this response reflects considerable scope for improvement.
- The answer code "Don't know / can't remember" would not be scored, as they do not have a clear bearing on the trust's performance in terms of service user's experience.

Calculating the trust score for each question

The weighted mean score for each trust, for each question, is calculated by dividing the sum of the weighted scores for a question by the weighted sum of all eligible respondents to the question for each trust. An example of this is provided in the <u>survey technical document</u>.

Calculating the section score

An arithmetic mean of each trust's question scores is taken to provide a score for each section.

Thank you.

For further information please contact the Survey Coordination Centre for Existing Methods:

mentalhealth@surveycoordination.com



Survey Coordination Centre